arXiv:1704.03017v1 [math.AP] 10 Apr 2017

$C^{1,\theta}$-Estimates on the distance of Inertial Manifolds*

José M. Arrieta† and Esperanza Santamaría‡

Abstract: In this paper we obtain $C^{1,\theta}$-estimates on the distance of inertial manifolds for dynamical systems generated by evolutionary parabolic type equations. We consider the situation where the systems are defined in different phase spaces and we estimate the distance in terms of the distance of the resolvent operators of the corresponding elliptic operators and the distance of the nonlinearities of the equations.

Keywords: inertial manifolds, evolution equations, perturbations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B42, 35K90

1 Introduction

We continue in this work the analysis started in [1] on the estimates on the distance of inertial manifolds. Actually, in [1] we considered a family of abstract evolution equations of parabolic type, that may be posed in different phase spaces (see equation (2.2) below) and we impose very general conditions (see (H1) and (H2) below) guaranteing that each problem has an inertial manifold and more important, we were able to obtain estimates in the norm of the supremum on the convergence of the inertial manifolds. These estimates are expressed in terms of the distance of the resolvent operators and in terms of the distance of the nonlinear terms. These results are the starting point of the present paper and are briefly described in Section 2 (see Theorem 2.3)

One of the main applications of invariant manifolds is that they allow us to describe the dynamics (locally or globally) of an infinite dimensional system with only a finite number of parameters (the dimension of the manifold). This drastic reduction of dimensionality permits in many instances to analyze in detail the dynamics of the equation and study perturbations problem. But for these questions, some extra differentiability on the manifold and some estimates on the convergence on stronger norms like C^1 or $C^{1,\theta}$ is desirable, see [10, 2]. Actually, the estimates from this paper and from [1] are key estimates to obtain good rates on the convergence of attractors of reaction diffusion equations in thin domains, problem which is addressed in [2].

This is actually the main purpose of this work. Under the very general setting from [1] but impossing some extra differentiability and convergence properties on the nonlinear terms (see hipothesis (H2') below) we obtain that the inertial manifolds are uniformly $C^{1,\theta}$ smooth and obtain estimates on the convergence of the manifolds in this $C^{1,\theta}$ norm.

Let us mention that the theory of invariant and inertial manifolds is a well established theory. We refer to [4, 16] for general references on the theory of Inertial manifolds. See also [15] for an

*This research has been partially supported by grants MTM2016-75465, MTM2012-31298, ICMAT Severo Ochoa project SEV-2015-0554 (MINECO), Spain and Grupo de Investigación CADEDIF, UCM.

†Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid and Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, Spain. e-mail: arrieta@mat.ucm.es

‡Universidad a Distancia de Madrid, 28400 Collado Villalba, Madrid. email: esperanza.santamaria@udima.es

accessible introduction to the theory. These inertial manifolds are smooth, see [7]. We also refer to [11, 9, 3, 16, 5, 8] for general references on dynamics of evolutionary equations.

We describe now the contents of the paper.

In Section 2 we introduce the notation, review the main hypotheses (specially (H1) and (H2)) and results from [1]. We describe in detail the new hypothesis (H2') and state the main result of the paper, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.

In Section 3.1 we analyze the $C^{1,\theta}$ smoothness of the inertial manifold, proving Proposition 2.5. The analysis is based in previous results from [7].

In Section 4 we obtain the estimates on the distance of the inertial manifold in the $C^{1,\theta}$ norm, proving Theorem 2.6.

2 Setting of the problem and main results

In this section we consider the setting of the problem, following [1]. We refer to this paper for more details about the setting.

Hence, consider the family of problems,

$$(P_0)\begin{cases} u_t^0 + A_0 u^0 &= F_0^\varepsilon(u^0), \\ u^0(0) \in X_0^\alpha, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$(P_\varepsilon)\begin{cases} u_t^\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon u^\varepsilon &= F_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon), \qquad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0 \\ u^\varepsilon(0) \in X_\varepsilon^\alpha, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where we assume, that A_ε is self-adjoint positive linear operator on a separable real Hilbert space X_ε, that is $A_\varepsilon : D(A_\varepsilon) = X_\varepsilon^1 \subset X_\varepsilon \to X_\varepsilon$, and $F_\varepsilon : X_\varepsilon^\alpha \to X_\varepsilon$, $F_0^\varepsilon : X_0^\alpha \to X_0$ are nonlinearities guaranteeing global existence of solutions of (2.2), for each $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ and for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$. Observe that for problem (2.1) we even assume that the nonlinearity depends on ε also.

As in [1], we assume the existence of linear continuous operators, E and M, such that, $E : X_0 \to X_\varepsilon$, $M : X_\varepsilon \to X_0$ and $E_{|_{X_0^\alpha}} : X_0^\alpha \to X_\varepsilon^\alpha$ and $M_{|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}} : X_\varepsilon^\alpha \to X_0^\alpha$, satisfying,

$$\|E\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_0,X_\varepsilon)}, \|M\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon,X_0)} \le \kappa, \qquad \|E\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_0^\alpha,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}, \|M\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon^\alpha,X_0^\alpha)} \le \kappa. \tag{2.3}$$

for some constant $\kappa \ge 1$. We also assume these operators satisfy the following properties,

$$M \circ E = I, \qquad \|Eu_0\|_{X_\varepsilon} \to \|u_0\|_{X_0} \quad \text{for} \quad u_0 \in X_0. \tag{2.4}$$

The family of operators A_ε, for $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, have compact resolvent. This, together with the fact that the operators are selfadjoint, implies that its spectrum is discrete real and consists only of eigenvalues, each one with finite multiplicity. Moreover, the fact that A_ε, $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, is positive implies that its spectrum is positive. So, we denote by $\sigma(A_\varepsilon)$, the spectrum of the operator A_ε, with,

$$\sigma(A_\varepsilon) = \{\lambda_n^\varepsilon\}_{n=1}^\infty, \qquad \text{and} \quad 0 < c \le \lambda_1^\varepsilon \le \lambda_2^\varepsilon \le ... \le \lambda_n^\varepsilon \le ...$$

and we also denote by $\{\varphi_i^\varepsilon\}_{i=1}^\infty$ an associated orthonormal family of eigenfunctions. Observe that the requirement of the operators A_ε being positive can be relaxed to requiring that they are all bounded from below uniformly in the parameter ϵ. We can always consider the modified operators

$A_\varepsilon + cI$ with c a large enough constant to make the modified operators positive. The nonlinear equations (2.2) would have to be rewritten accordingly.

With respect to the relation between both operators, A_0 and A_ε and following [1], we will assume the following hypothesis

(H1). *With α the exponent from problems (2.2), we have*

$$\|A_\varepsilon^{-1} - EA_0^{-1}M\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Let us define $\tau(\varepsilon)$ as an increasing function of ε such that

$$\|A_\varepsilon^{-1}E - EA_0^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_0, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq \tau(\varepsilon). \tag{2.6}$$

We also recall hypothesis **(H2)** from [1], regarding the nonlinearities F_0 and F_ε,

(H2). *We assume that the nonlinear terms $F_\varepsilon : X_\varepsilon^\alpha \to X_\varepsilon$ and $F_0^\varepsilon : X_0^\alpha \to X_0$ for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, satisfy:*

(a) They are uniformly bounded, that is, there exists a constant $C_F > 0$ independent of ε such that,

$$\|F_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(X_\varepsilon^\alpha, X_\varepsilon)} \leq C_F, \quad \|F_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(X_0^\alpha, X_0)} \leq C_F$$

(b) They are globally Lipschitz on X_ε^α with a uniform Lipstichz constant L_F, that is,

$$\|F_\varepsilon(u) - F_\varepsilon(v)\|_{X_\varepsilon} \leq L_F\|u - v\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\|F_0^\varepsilon(u) - F_0^\varepsilon(v)\|_{X_0} \leq L_F\|u - v\|_{X_0^\alpha}. \tag{2.8}$$

(c) They have a uniformly bounded support for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$: there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$Supp F_\varepsilon \subset D_R = \{u_\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon^\alpha : \|u_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq R\}$$

$$Supp F_0^\varepsilon \subset D_R = \{u_0 \in X_0^\alpha : \|u_0\|_{X_0^\alpha} \leq R\}.$$

(d) F_ε is near F_0^ε in the following sense,

$$\sup_{u_0 \in X_0^\alpha} \|F_\varepsilon(Eu_0) - EF_0^\varepsilon(u_0)\|_{X_\varepsilon} = \rho(\varepsilon), \tag{2.9}$$

and $\rho(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

With **(H1)** and **(H2)** we were able to show in [1] the existence, convergence and obtain some rate of the convergence in the norm of the supremum of inertial manifolds. In order to explain the result and to understand the rest of this paper, we need to introduce several notation and results from [1]. We refer to this paper for more explanations.

Let us consider $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_m^0 < \lambda_{m+1}^0$ and denote by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ the canonical orthogonal projection onto the eigenfunctions, $\{\varphi_i^\varepsilon\}_{i=1}^m$, corresponding to the first m eigenvalues of the operator A_ε, $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ the projetion over its orthogonal complement, see [1]. For technical reasons, we express any element belonging to the linear subspace $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(X_\varepsilon)$ as a linear combination of the elements of the following basis

$$\{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(E\varphi_1^0), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(E\varphi_2^0), ..., \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(E\varphi_m^0)\}, \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

with $\{\varphi_i^0\}_{i=1}^m$ the eigenfunctions related to the first m eigenvalues of A_0, which constitute a basis in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(X_\varepsilon)$ and in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(X_\varepsilon^\alpha)$, see [1]. We will denote by $\psi_i^\varepsilon = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\varepsilon}(E\varphi_i^0)$.

Let us denote by j_ε the isomorphism from $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(X_\varepsilon) = [\psi_1^\varepsilon, ..., \psi_m^\varepsilon]$ onto $\mathbb{R}^m$, that gives us the coordinates of each vector. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} j_\varepsilon : \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(X_\varepsilon) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \\ w_\varepsilon &\longmapsto \bar{p}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $w_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \psi_i^\varepsilon$ and $\bar{p} = (p_1, ..., p_m)$.

We denote by $|\cdot|$ the usual euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^m$, that is $|\bar{p}| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and by $|\cdot|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ the following weighted one,

$$|\bar{p}|_{\varepsilon,\alpha} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2 (\lambda_i^\varepsilon)^{2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.11}$$

We consider the spaces $(\mathbb{R}^m, |\cdot|)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^m, |\cdot|_{\varepsilon,\alpha})$, that is, $\mathbb{R}^m$ with the norm $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$, respectively, and notice that for $w_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \psi_i^0$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ we have that,

$$\|w_0\|_{X_0^\alpha} = |j_0(w_0)|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}. \tag{2.12}$$

It is also not difficult to see that from the convergence of the eigenvalues (which is obtained from **(H1)**, see [1]), we have that for a fixed m and for all $\delta > 0$ small enough there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$(1-\delta)|\bar{p}|_{0,\alpha} \leq |\bar{p}|_{\varepsilon,\alpha} \leq (1+\delta)|\bar{p}|_{0,\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon(\delta), \quad \forall \bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{2.13}$$

With respect to the behavior of the linear semigroup in the subspace $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha$, notice that we have the expression

$$e^{-A_\varepsilon t}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} u = e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} t} u = \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i^\varepsilon t}(u, \varphi_i^\varepsilon)\varphi_i^\varepsilon.$$

Hence, using the expression of $e^{-A_\varepsilon t \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} t}$ from above and following a similar proof as Lemma 3.1 from [1], we get

$$\|e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon t},$$

and,

$$\|e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1} t} \left(\max\{\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1}, \frac{\alpha}{t}\}\right)^\alpha, \tag{2.14}$$

for $t \geq 0$.

In a similar way, we have

$$e^{-A_\varepsilon t}\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} u = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{-\lambda^\varepsilon_i t}(u, \varphi^\varepsilon_i)\varphi^\varepsilon_i.$$

and following similar steps as above, for $t \leq 0$ we have,

$$\|e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon, X_\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-\lambda^\varepsilon_m t}, \qquad \|e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X^\alpha_\varepsilon, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-\lambda^\varepsilon_m t}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\|e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} t}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-\lambda^\varepsilon_m t}(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha. \tag{2.16}$$

We are looking for inertial manifolds for system (2.2) and (2.1) which will be obtained as graphs of appropriate functions. This motivates the introduction of the sets $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(L, \rho)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(L, \rho) = \{\Phi : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X^\alpha_\varepsilon), \quad \text{such that} \quad \text{supp } \Phi \subset B_R \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|\Phi(\bar{p}^1) - \Phi(\bar{p}^2)\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq L|\bar{p}^1 - \bar{p}^2|_{\varepsilon,\alpha} \quad \bar{p}^1, \bar{p}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

Then we can show the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *([1]) Let hypotheses* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *be satisfied. Assume also that $m \geq 1$ is such that,*

$$\lambda^0_{m+1} - \lambda^0_m \geq 3(\kappa + 2)L_F\left[(\lambda^0_m)^\alpha + (\lambda^0_{m+1})^\alpha\right], \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$(\lambda^0_m)^{1-\alpha} \geq 6(\kappa + 2)L_F(1 - \alpha)^{-1}. \tag{2.18}$$

Then, there exist $L < 1$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ there exist inertial manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon_0$ for (2.2) and (2.1) respectively, given by the "graph" of a function $\Phi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon(L, \rho)$ and $\Phi^\varepsilon_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0(L, \rho)$.

Remark 2.2. *We have written quotations in the word "graph" since the manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon_0$ are not properly speaking the graph of the functions Φ_ε, Φ^ε_0 but rather the graph of the appropriate function obtained via the isomorphism j_ε which identifies $\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}(X^\alpha_\varepsilon)$ with $\mathbb{R}^m$. That is, $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon = \{j^{-1}_\varepsilon(\bar{p}) + \Phi_\varepsilon(\bar{p}); \quad \bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$ and $\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon_0 = \{j^{-1}_0(\bar{p}) + \Phi^\varepsilon_0(\bar{p}); \quad \bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$*

The main result from [1] was the following:

Theorem 2.3. *([1]) Let hypotheses* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *be satisfied and let $\tau(\varepsilon)$ be defined by* (2.6)*. Then, under the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1, if Φ_ε are the maps that give us the inertial manifolds for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ then we have,*

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi^\varepsilon_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} \leq C[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)], \tag{2.19}$$

with C a constant independent of ε.

Remark 2.4. *Properly speaking, in [1] the above theorem is proved only for the case for which the nonlinearity F_0^ε from (2.1) satisfies $F_0^\varepsilon \equiv F_0$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. But revising the proof of [1] we can see that exactly the same argument is valid for the most general case where the nonlinearity depends on ε.*

To obtain stronger convergence results on the inertial manifolds, we will need to requiere stronger conditions on the nonlinearites. These conditions are stated in the following hypothesis,

(H2'). *We assume that the nonlinear terms F_ε and F_0^ε, satisfy hipothesis* **(H2)** *and they are uniformly C^{1,θ_F} functions from X_ε^α to X_ε, and X_0^α to X_0 respectively, for some $0 < \theta_F \leq 1$. That is, $F_\varepsilon \in C^1(X_\varepsilon^\alpha, X_\varepsilon)$, $F_0^\varepsilon \in C^1(X_0^\alpha, X_0)$ and there exists $L > 0$, independent of ε, such that*

$$\|DF_\varepsilon(u) - DF_\varepsilon(u')\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_\varepsilon^\alpha, X_\varepsilon)} \leq L\|u - u'\|^{\theta_F}_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}, \qquad \forall u, u' \in X_\varepsilon^\alpha.$$

$$\|DF_0^\varepsilon(u) - DF_0^\varepsilon(u')\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_0^\alpha, X_0)} \leq L\|u - u'\|^{\theta_F}_{X_0^\alpha}, \qquad \forall u, u' \in X_0^\alpha.$$

We can state now the main results of this section.

Proposition 2.5. *Assume hypotheses* **(H1)** *and* **(H2')** *are satisfied and that the gap conditions (2.17), (2.18) hold. Then, for any $\theta > 0$ such that $\theta \leq \theta_F$ and $\theta < \theta_0$, where*

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\lambda_{m+1}^0 - \lambda_m^0 - 4L_F(\lambda_m^0)^\alpha - 2L_F(\lambda_{m+1}^0)^\alpha}{2L_F(\lambda_m^0)^\alpha + \lambda_m^0} \tag{2.20}$$

then, the functions Φ_ε, and Φ_0^ε for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, obtained above, which give the inertial manifolds, are $C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)$ and $C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_0^\alpha)$. Moreover, the $C^{1,\theta}$ norm is bounded uniformly in ε, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small.

The main result we want to show in this article is the following:

Theorem 2.6. *Let hypotheses* **(H1)**, **(H2')** *and gap conditions (2.17), (2.18) be satisfied, so that Proposition 2.5 hold, and we have inertial manifolds $\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{M}_0^\varepsilon$ given as the graphs of the functions Φ_ε, Φ_0^ε for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. If we denote by*

$$\beta(\varepsilon) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{M}_0^\varepsilon} \|DF_\varepsilon\big(Eu\big)E - EDF_0^\varepsilon\big(u\big)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X_0^\alpha, X_\varepsilon)}, \tag{2.21}$$

then, there exists θ^* with $0 < \theta^* < \theta_F$ such that for all $0 < \theta < \theta^*$, we obtain the following estimate

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq \mathbf{C}\left(\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + \Big(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)\Big)^{\theta^*}\right]\right)^{1-\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}, \tag{2.22}$$

where $\tau(\varepsilon)$, $\rho(\varepsilon)$ are given by (2.6), (2.9), respectively and $\mathbf{C}$ is a constant independent of ε.

Remark 2.7. *As a matter of fact, θ^* can be chosen $\theta^* < \min\{\theta_F, \theta_0, \theta_1\}$ where θ_F is from* **(H2')**, *θ_0 is defined in*(2.20) *and θ_1,*

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda^0_{m+1} - \lambda^0_m - 4L_F(\lambda^0_m)^\alpha}{(\kappa+2)L_F(\lambda^0_m)^\alpha + \lambda^0_m + 3},$$

see (4.2).

As usual, we denote by $C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)$ the space of $C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)$ maps whose differentials are uniformly Hölder continuous with Hölder exponent θ. That is, there is a constant C independent of ε such that,

$$\|D\Phi_\varepsilon(z) - D\Phi_\varepsilon(z')\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} \leq C|z - z'|^\theta_{\varepsilon,\alpha}.$$

where the norm $|\cdot|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ is given by (2.11). Notice that the norm $|\cdot|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ is equivalent to $|\cdot|$ uniformly in ε and α.

The space $C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)$ is endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)}$ given by,

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} = \|\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)} + \sup_{z,z'\in\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\|D\Phi_\varepsilon(z) - D\Phi_\varepsilon(z')\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)}}{|z - z'|^\theta_{\varepsilon,\alpha}}$$

To simplify notation below and unless some clarification is needed, we will denote the norms $\|\cdot\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X^\alpha_\varepsilon)}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{C^1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C^{1,\theta}}$. Also, very often we will need to consider the following space of bounded linear operators $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon, \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon)$ and its norm will be abbreviated by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$.

3 Smoothness of inertial manifolds

In this section we show the $C^{1,\theta}$ smoothness of the inertial manifolds Φ_ε and Φ^ε_0 for a fixed value of the parameter ε. Moreover, we will obtain estimates of its $C^{1,\theta}$ norm which are independent of the parameter ε.

Recall that the C^1 smoothness of the manifold is shown in [16], where they proved the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *Let hypotheses of Proposition 2.1 be satisfied. Assume that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the nonlinear functions F_ε, F^ε_0 are Lipschitz C^1 functions from X^α_ε to X_ε and from X^α_0 to X_0 respectively. Then, the inertial manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon_0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$, are C^1-manifolds and the functions Ψ_ε, Ψ^ε_0 are Lipschitz C^1 functions from $\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon$ to $\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon$ and from $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_0$ to $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_0$.*

Remark 3.2. *i) Let us mention that the relation between the maps $\Psi_\varepsilon : \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon$ (resp. $\Psi^\varepsilon_0 : \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_0 \to \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_0$) and $\Phi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon$ (resp. $\Phi^\varepsilon_0 : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_0$) is $\Phi_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon \circ j^{-1}_\varepsilon$ (resp. $\Phi^\varepsilon_0 = \Psi^\varepsilon_0 \circ j^{-1}_0$), where j_ε is defined by* (2.10).
ii) For the rest of the exposition, whenever we write Ψ_ε, Ψ^ε_0, Φ_ε and Φ^ε_0 we will refer to these maps that define the inertial manifolds.

The proof of this theorem is based in the following extension of the Contraction Mapping Theorem, see [7].

Lemma 3.3. *Let X and Y be complete metric spaces with metrics d_x and d_y. Let $H : X \times Y \to X \times Y$ be a continuous function satisfying the following:*

(1) $H(x, y) = (F(x), G(x, y))$, F does not depend on y.

(2) There is a constant θ with $0 \leq \theta < 1$ such that one has

$$d_x(F(x_1), F(x_2)) \leq \theta d_x(x_1, x_2), \qquad x_1, x_2 \in X,$$

$$d_y(G(x, y_1), G(x, y_2)) \leq \theta d_y(y_1, y_2), \qquad x \in X, y_1, y_2 \in Y.$$

Then there is a unique fixed point (x^*, y^*) of H. Moreover, if (x_n, y_n) is any sequence of iterations,

$$(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = H(x_n, y_n) \qquad \textit{for} \quad n \geq 1,$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_n, y_n) = (x^*, y^*).$$

In [7] and [16] the authors use this lemma to show the existence of an appropriate fixed point which will give the desired differentiability. In our case, we consider the maps $\mathbf{\Pi}^\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(L, R) \times \mathcal{E}_0 \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(L, R) \times \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L, R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L, R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ given by

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}} : (\chi^\varepsilon_0, \Upsilon^\varepsilon_0) \to (\mathbf{T}^\varepsilon_{\mathbf{0}}\chi^\varepsilon_0, \mathbf{D}^\varepsilon_0(\chi^\varepsilon_0, \Upsilon^\varepsilon_0)),$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} : (\chi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon) \to (\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\chi_\varepsilon, \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon(\chi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon)),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L, R) = \Big\{ \chi_\varepsilon : \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon \ / \ \|\chi_\varepsilon(p) - \chi_\varepsilon(p')\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq L\|p - p'\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}, \ \ p, p' \in \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon,$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(\chi_\varepsilon) \subset \{\phi \in \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon, \|\phi\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq R\} \Big\}, \qquad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon = \{\Upsilon_\varepsilon : \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon, \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon) \text{ continuous :}$$
$$\|\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p)p'\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq \|p'\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}, \quad p, p' \in \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon\} \qquad 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

Notice that the last contiditon in the definition of $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ could be written equivalently as $\|\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq 1$ for all $p \in \mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} X^\alpha_\varepsilon$.

The functionals $\mathbf{T}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{0}}$, $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ are the ones used in the Lyapunov-Perron method to prove the existence of the inertial manifolds, see [16], which are defined as

$$(\mathbf{T}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{0}}\chi^\varepsilon_0)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} s} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} F^\varepsilon_0(u^\varepsilon_0(s))ds, \tag{3.1}$$

$$(\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\chi_\varepsilon)(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} s} \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))ds, \tag{3.2}$$

with $u_0^\varepsilon(t) = p_0^\varepsilon(t) + \chi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(t))$, $u_\varepsilon(t) = p_\varepsilon(t) + \chi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(t))$, where $p_0^\varepsilon(\cdot) \in [\varphi_1^0, \dots, \varphi_m^0]$ is the globally defined solution of

$$\begin{cases} p_t = -A_0 p + \mathbf{P_m^0} F_0^\varepsilon(p + \chi_0^\varepsilon(p(t))) \\ p(0) = \xi \in [\varphi_1^0, \dots, \varphi_m^0] \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

and $p_\varepsilon(\cdot) \in [\varphi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \varphi_m^\varepsilon]$ is the globally defined solution of

$$\begin{cases} p_t = -A_\varepsilon p + \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} F_\varepsilon(p + \chi_\varepsilon(p(t))) \\ p(0) = \eta \in [\varphi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \varphi_m^\varepsilon]. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

The functionals, $\mathbf{D_0^\varepsilon}(\chi_0^\varepsilon, \Upsilon_0^\varepsilon)$, $\mathbf{D_\varepsilon}(\chi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon)$ are given as follows: for any $\xi \in \mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha$, $\eta \in \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} X_\varepsilon^\alpha$,

$$\mathbf{D_0^\varepsilon}(\chi_0^\varepsilon, \Upsilon_0^\varepsilon)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{A_0 \mathbf{Q_m^0} s} \mathbf{Q_m^0} DF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(s))(I + \Upsilon_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(\xi, s) ds, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D_\varepsilon}(\chi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon)(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q_m^\varepsilon} s} \mathbf{Q_m^\varepsilon} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon(\eta, s) ds, \tag{3.6}$$

with u_0^ε, p_0^ε, u_ε, p_ε as above and moreover, $\Theta_0^\varepsilon(\xi, t) = \Theta_0^\varepsilon(\chi_0^\varepsilon, \Upsilon_0^\varepsilon, \xi, t)$, $\Theta_\varepsilon(\eta, t) = \Theta_\varepsilon(\chi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon, \eta, t)$ are the linear maps from $\mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha$ to $\mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha$ and from $\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} X_\varepsilon^\alpha$ to $\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} X_\varepsilon^\alpha$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Theta_t = -A_0 \Theta + \mathbf{P_m^0} DF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(t))(I + \Upsilon_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(t)))\Theta \\ \Theta(\xi, 0) = I, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Theta_t = -A_\varepsilon \Theta + \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t))(I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(t)))\Theta \\ \Theta(\eta, 0) = I, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

respectively.

In fact, in these works it is obtained that the fixed point of the maps $\mathbf{\Pi_0^\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi_\varepsilon}$ are given by $(\chi_0^{\varepsilon *}, \Upsilon_0^{\varepsilon *}) = (\Psi_0^\varepsilon, D\Psi_0^\varepsilon)$, $(\chi_\varepsilon^*, \Upsilon_\varepsilon^*) = (\Psi_\varepsilon, D\Psi_\varepsilon)$ with Ψ_0^ε and Ψ_ε are the maps whose graphs gives us the inertial manifolds (see Remark 3.2 ii)), which are given by the fixed points of the functionals $\mathbf{T_0^\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{T_\varepsilon}$ and $D\Psi_0^\varepsilon$, $D\Psi_\varepsilon$ are the Frechet derivatives of the inertial manifolds.

In order to prove the $C^{1,\theta}$ smoothness of the inertial manifolds Φ_0^ε, Φ_ε, we will show that if we denote the set

$$\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta, M} = \{\Upsilon_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon : \|\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p) - \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p')\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq M \|p - p'\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta, \quad \forall p, p' \in \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} X_\varepsilon^\alpha\}$$

which is a closed set in $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$, then there exist appropriate θ and M such that the maps $\mathbf{D_0^\varepsilon}(\Psi_0^\varepsilon, \cdot)$ and $\mathbf{D_\varepsilon}(\Psi_\varepsilon, \cdot)$ from (3.5) and (3.6) with Ψ_0^ε, Ψ_ε the obtained inertial manifolds, transform $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta, M}$ into itself, see Lemma 3.7 below, which will imply that the fixed point of the maps $\mathbf{\Pi_0^\varepsilon}$ and $\mathbf{\Pi_\varepsilon}$ lie in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0(L, R) \times \mathcal{E}_0^{\theta, M}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L, R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta, M}$, respectively, obtaining the desired regularity.

Throughout this subsection, we provide a proof of Proposition 2.5 for the inertial manifold Φ_ε for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Note that the proof of this result for the inertial manifold Φ_0^ε, consists in following, step by step, the same proof. Then, we focus now in the inertial manifold Φ_ε with $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed.

We start with some estimates.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p_\varepsilon^1(t)$ and $p_\varepsilon^2(t)$ be solutions of (3.4) with $p_\varepsilon^1(0)$ and $p_\varepsilon^2(0)$ its initial data, respectively. Then, for $t \leq 0$,*

$$\|p_\varepsilon^1(t) - p_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq \|p_\varepsilon^1(0) - p_\varepsilon^2(0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} e^{-[2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon]t}$$

Proof. By the variation of constants formula,

$$p_\varepsilon^1(t) - p_\varepsilon^2(t) = e^{-A_\varepsilon t}[p_\varepsilon^1(0) - p_\varepsilon^2(0)] +$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{-A_\varepsilon(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} [F_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s) + \Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s))) - F_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2(s) + \Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2(s)))] ds.$$

Hence, applying (2.15) and (2.16) and taking into account that $\Psi_\varepsilon, F_\varepsilon$ are uniformly Lipschitz with Lipschitz constants $L < 1$ and L_F, respectively, we get

$$\|p_\varepsilon^1(t) - p_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t}\|p_\varepsilon^1(0) - p_\varepsilon^2(0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + 2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha \int_t^0 e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon(t-s)}\|p_\varepsilon^1(s) - p_\varepsilon^2(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} ds.$$

By Gronwall inequality,

$$\|p_\varepsilon^1(t) - p_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq \|p_\varepsilon^1(0) - p_\varepsilon^2(0)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} e^{-[2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon]t},$$

as we wanted to prove. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\Psi_\varepsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L, R)$ with $L < 1$ and $\Upsilon_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. Then, for $t \leq 0$,*

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^0, t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq e^{-[2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon]t}.$$

Proof. If $z_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha$, with the aid of the variation of constants formula applied to (3.8), we have for $t \leq 0$,

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^0, t)z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq \|e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} t} z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} +$$

$$+ \int_t^0 \left\| e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^0, s)z_\varepsilon \right\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} ds.$$

Hence as before,

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^0, t)z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t}\|z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + 2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha \int_t^0 e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon(t-s)}\|\Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^0, s)z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}.$$

Using Gronwall inequality, we get

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^0, t)z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq e^{-[2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon]t}\|z_\varepsilon\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}$$

from where we get the result. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $0 < \theta \leq \theta_F$ and $M > 0$ fixed. Let $p_\varepsilon^1, p_\varepsilon^2 \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha$ and consider $\Theta_\varepsilon^1(t) = \Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1, t)$, $\Theta_\varepsilon^2(t) = \Theta_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2, t)$ the solutions of (3.8) for some $\Upsilon_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$. Then, for $t \leq 0$,*

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \left(\frac{2L}{(\theta+1)L_F} + \frac{M}{2(\theta+1)}\right)\|p_\varepsilon^1 - p_\varepsilon^2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta \; e^{-(2(\theta+2)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + (\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon)t}.$$

Proof. Applying the variation of constants formula to (3.8), for $t \leq 0$,

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \int_t^0 \Big\| e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} [DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^1(s))(I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s)$$

$$-DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^2(s))(I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^2(s)]\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds$$

with $u_\varepsilon^i(s) = p_\varepsilon^i(s) + \Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^i(s))$, $i = 1, 2$.

We can decompose the above integral in the following way,

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq$$

$$\leq \int_t^0 \Big\| e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} [DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^1(s)) - DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^2(s))](I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s)\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds +$$

$$+ \int_t^0 \Big\| e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^2(s))(\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s)) - \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s)\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds +$$

$$+ \int_t^0 \Big\| e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^2(s))[(I + \Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2(s)))(\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon^2(s))\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds =$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

We analyze each term separately.

By hipothesis **(H2')**, (2.16) and Lemma 3.5,

$$I_1 \leq 2L(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 \|u_\varepsilon^1(s) - u_\varepsilon^2(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s} ds \leq$$

$$\leq 4L(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 \|p_\varepsilon^1(s) - p_\varepsilon^2(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s} ds.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4,

$$I_1 \leq \frac{2L}{(\theta+1)L_F} \|p_\varepsilon^1 - p_\varepsilon^2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-[2(\theta+1)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + (\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon]t}.$$

Since $\Upsilon_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$, $0 < \theta \leq \theta_F$, and by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$I_2 \leq L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha M e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 \|p_\varepsilon^1(s) - p_\varepsilon^2(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s} ds.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4,

$$I_2 \leq \frac{M}{2(\theta+1)} \|p_\varepsilon^1 - p_\varepsilon^2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-[2(\theta+1)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + (\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon]t}.$$

This last term is estimated as follows,

$$I_3 \leq 2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha \int_t^0 e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon(t-s)} \|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon^2(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds.$$

So,

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(t)-\Theta_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}}\leq$$
$$\left(\frac{2L}{(\theta+1)L_F}+\frac{M}{2(\theta+1)}\right)\|p_\varepsilon^1-p_\varepsilon^2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-[2(\theta+1)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+(\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon]t}$$
$$+2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha\int_t^0 e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon(t-s)}\|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s)-\Theta_\varepsilon^2(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}}ds.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality,

$$\|\Theta_\varepsilon^1(t)-\Theta_\varepsilon^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}}\leq$$
$$\leq\left(\frac{2L}{(\theta+1)L_F}+\frac{M}{2(\theta+1)}\right)\|p_\varepsilon^1-p_\varepsilon^2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-[2(\theta+2)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+(\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon]t},$$

which shows the result. □

For the sake of notation, there are several exponents that repeat themselves very often and they are kind of long. We will abbreviate the exponents as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_0&=2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+\lambda_m^\varepsilon\\ \Lambda_1&=\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon-(\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon-2(\theta+1)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha\\ \Lambda_2&=\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon-(\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon-2(\theta+2)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

We can prove now the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *If we choose θ such that $0<\theta\leq\theta_F$ and $\theta<\theta_0$ with θ_0 given by (2.20), then there exist $M_0=M_0(\theta)>0$ such that for each $M\geq M_0$ and for ε small enough, we have $\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\cdot)$ maps $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ into $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$.*

Proof. Let $\Upsilon_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ and $p_\varepsilon^1,p_\varepsilon^2\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}X_\varepsilon^\alpha$. In [16] the authors prove $\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\cdot)$ maps $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ into $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$. So, it remains to prove that,

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p_\varepsilon^1)-\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p_\varepsilon^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}}\leq M\|p_\varepsilon^1-p_\varepsilon^2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta,$$

with M and θ as in the statement.

From expression (3.6), we have,

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p_\varepsilon^1)-\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p_\varepsilon^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}}\leq$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^0\left\|e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}s}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}[DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^1(s))(I+\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s)-DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^2(s))(I+\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^2(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^2(s)]\right\|_{\mathcal{L}}ds,$$

with $p_\varepsilon^i(s)$ the solution of (3.4) with $p_\varepsilon^i(0)=p_\varepsilon^i$ and $u_\varepsilon^i(s)=p_\varepsilon^i(s)+\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^i(s))$, for $i=1,2$.

In a similar way as in proof of Lemma 3.6, we decompose it as follows,

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p_\varepsilon^1)-\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p_\varepsilon^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}}\leq$$
$$\leq\int_{-\infty}^0\left\|e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}s}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}[DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^1(s))-DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon^2(s))](I+\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon^1(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon^1(s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}}ds+$$

$$+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left\|e^{A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} DF_\varepsilon(u^2_\varepsilon(s))[\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p^1_\varepsilon(s))-\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p^2_\varepsilon(s))]\Theta^1_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds+$$

$$+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left\|e^{A_\varepsilon \mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}} DF_\varepsilon(u^2_\varepsilon(s))(I+\Upsilon_\varepsilon(p^2_\varepsilon(s)))[\Theta^1_\varepsilon(s)-\Theta^2_\varepsilon(s)]\right\|_{\mathcal{L}} ds=$$

$$=I_1+I_2+I_3.$$

Following the same arguments used in that proof and since $\Upsilon_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}^{\theta,M}_\varepsilon$ we get

$$I_1 \leq 4L(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha \|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_1 s}ds \leq \frac{4L(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_1}\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}$$

Similarly, for I_2,

$$I_2 \leq L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha M\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_1 s}ds \leq \frac{L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha M}{\Lambda_1}\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}.$$

And finally, applying Lemma 3.6,

$$I_3 \leq 2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha\left(\frac{2L}{(\theta+1)L_F}+\frac{M}{2(\theta+1)}\right)\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\Lambda_2 s}ds$$

which implies,

$$I_3 \leq \frac{2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_2}\left(\frac{2L}{(\theta+1)L_F}+\frac{M}{2(\theta+1)}\right)\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}.$$

Putting everything together we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p^1_\varepsilon)-\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p^2_\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq$$
$$(4L+ML_F)(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha\Big(\frac{1}{\Lambda_1}+\frac{1}{(\theta+1)\Lambda_2}\Big)\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}$$

But since $\Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_1$, see (3.9), and $\theta > 0$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p^1_\varepsilon)-\mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon,\Upsilon_\varepsilon)(p^2_\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq (4L+ML_F)(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha\frac{2}{\Lambda_2}\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}$$

$$=\Big(\frac{8L(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_2}+M\frac{2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_2}\Big)\|p^1_\varepsilon - p^2_\varepsilon\|^\theta_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon}$$

But if we consider

$$\theta^0=\frac{\lambda^0_{m+1}-\lambda^0_m-4L_F(\lambda^0_m)^\alpha-2L_F(\lambda^0_{m+1})^\alpha}{2L_F(\lambda^0_m)^\alpha+\lambda^0_m},$$

then, direct computations show that if $\theta<\theta_0$ and ε is small, then $\frac{2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_2}\leq\eta$ for some $\eta<1$. This implies that if we choose M large enough then

$$\Big(\frac{8L(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_2}+M\frac{2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha}{\Lambda_2}\Big)\leq M$$

which shows the result. □

We can prove now the main result of this subsection.

Proof. (of Proposition 2.5) Again, we do only the proof for Φ_ε being the proof for Φ_0^ε completely similar.

Since $\Phi_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon \circ j_\varepsilon^{-1}$ and j_ε is an isomorphism, see Remark 3.2 and (2.10), to prove $\Phi_\varepsilon \in C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)$ for some θ, is equivalent to prove $\Psi_\varepsilon \in C^{1,\theta}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)$.

In [16], the authors prove the existence of the unique fixed point $(\Psi_\varepsilon^*, \Upsilon_\varepsilon^*) = (\Psi_\varepsilon, D\Psi_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L,R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ of the map

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} : (\Psi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon) \to (\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\Psi_\varepsilon, \mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon, \Upsilon_\varepsilon)).$$

We want to prove that, in fact, this fixed point belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L,R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$. We proceed as follows. Let $\{z_n\}_{n\geq 0} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L,R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ be a sequence given by

$$z_0 = (\Psi_\varepsilon, 0), \qquad z_1 = \mathbf{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} z_1 = (\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\Psi_\varepsilon, \mathbf{D}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\Psi_\varepsilon, 0)), \quad \ldots \quad z_n = \mathbf{\Pi}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^n z_0.$$

Note that the first coordinate of z_n is $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^n \Psi_\varepsilon$ which coincides with Ψ_ε for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ since Ψ_ε is fixed point of $\mathbf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.7, $\{z_n\}_{n\geq 0} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L,R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ with θ and M described in this lemma.

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = (\Psi_\varepsilon, D\Psi_\varepsilon).$$

Hence, since $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ and $z_n \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, then

$$(\Psi_\varepsilon, D\Psi_\varepsilon) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\varepsilon(L,R) \times \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}.$$

That is, $\Psi_\varepsilon \in C^{1,\theta}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)$, for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, with $0 < \theta \leq \theta_F$ and $\theta < \theta^0$, see (2.20). Then, $\Phi_\varepsilon \in C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)$ as we wanted to prove. □

4 $C^{1,\theta}$-estimates on the inertial manifolds

In this section we study the $C^{1,\theta}$-convergence, with $0 < \theta \leq 1$ small enough, of the inertial manifolds Φ_0^ε, Φ_ε, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. For that we will obtain first the C^1-convergence of these manifolds, and, with an interpolation argument and applying the results obtained in the previous subsection, we get the $C^{1,\theta}$-convergence and a rate of this convergence.

Before proving the main result of this subsection, Theorem 2.6, we need the following estimate.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Theta_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z),t) = \Theta_0^\varepsilon(\Psi_0^\varepsilon, D\Psi_0^\varepsilon, j_0^{-1}(z), t)$ and $\Theta_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z),t) = \Theta_\varepsilon(\Psi_\varepsilon, D\Psi_\varepsilon, j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z), t)$ be solutions of (3.7) and (3.8), for $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $t \leq 0$. Then, we have,*

$$\|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z),t) - \Theta_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z),t)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$

$$C[\beta(\varepsilon) + [\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)]^\theta] e^{-[(4+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + (\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon + 3\theta]t} +$$

$$+ \frac{\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E\|_\infty}{2} e^{-[4L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon]t},$$

where C is a constant independent of ε, $0 < \theta \leq \theta_F$ and $\theta < \theta_0$, and κ is given by (2.3).

Remark 4.2. *We denote by* $\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E\|_\infty$ *the sup norm, that is*

$$\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E\|_\infty = \sup_{p \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha} \|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p) - D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} Ep)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}, \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. With the Variation of Constants Formula applied to (3.7) and (3.8), and denoting by $\Theta_0^\varepsilon(t) = \Theta_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z), t)$ and $\Theta_\varepsilon(t) = \Theta_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z), t)$, we get

$$E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E = Ee^{-A_0 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} t} - e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} t}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E +$$

$$+ \int_t^0 \Big(Ee^{-A_0 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} DF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) -$$

$$e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E \Big) ds := I' + \int_t^0 I$$

We estimate now I' and I. Notice first that $\|I'\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}$ is analyzed with Lemma 5.1, from [1] obtaining,

$$\|I'\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq C_4 e^{-(\lambda_m^0 + 1)t}\tau(\varepsilon)$$

Moreover, for I we get, the following decomposition:

$$I = Ee^{-A_0 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} DF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) -$$
$$e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E =$$
$$= \Big(Ee^{-A_0 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} - e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E \Big) DF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s)$$

$$+ e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \Big(EDF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(s)) - DF_\varepsilon(Eu_0^\varepsilon(s))E \Big)(I + D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s)$$

$$+ e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \Big(DF_\varepsilon(Eu_0^\varepsilon(s)) - DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s)) \Big) E(I + D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s)$$

$$+ e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s)) \Big(E(I + D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s))) - (I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} Ep_0^\varepsilon(s)))E \Big)\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s)$$

$$+ e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s)) \Big((I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} Ep_0^\varepsilon(s))) - (I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s))) \Big) E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s)$$

$$+ e^{-A_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t-s)} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s))) \Big(E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E \Big)$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6.$$

Now we can study the norm $\|I\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}$ analyzing the norm of each term separately.

By Lemma 3.5, Lemma 5.1 from [1] and (2.16) we have,

$$\|I_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}} X_0^\alpha, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq 2L_F C_4 \tau(\varepsilon) e^{-(\lambda_m^0 + 1)t} e^{(-2L_F(\lambda_m^0)^\alpha + 1)s}.$$

With the definition of $\beta(\varepsilon)$ from (2.21) and again Lemma 3.5 and (2.16)

$$\|I_2\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P_m^0}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq 2(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha \beta(\varepsilon) e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s}.$$

To study the term I_3, again, from (2.21), (2.16), Lemma 3.5 and the properties on the norm of extension operator, see (2.3), for $0 < \theta \leq \theta_F$,

$$\|I_3\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P_m^0}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq 2\kappa(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L \|Eu_0^\varepsilon(s) - u_\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}^\theta e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s}.$$

Remember that,

$$u_0^\varepsilon(s) = p_0^\varepsilon(s) + \Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)) = p_0^\varepsilon(s) + \Phi_0^\varepsilon(j_0(p_0^\varepsilon(s))),$$

and for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$u_\varepsilon(s) = p_\varepsilon(s) + \Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)) = p_\varepsilon(s) + \Phi_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s))).$$

Then,

$$\|Eu_0^\varepsilon(s) - u_\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq$$

$$\|p_\varepsilon(s) - Ep_0^\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|\Phi_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s))) - \Phi_\varepsilon(j_0(p_0^\varepsilon(s))\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|\Phi_\varepsilon(j_0(p_0^\varepsilon(s)) - \Phi_0^\varepsilon(j_0(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq$$

$$\|p_\varepsilon(s) - Ep_0^\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + |j_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)) - j_0(p_0^\varepsilon(s))|_{0,\alpha} + \|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}.$$

Applying now Lemma 5.4 from [1], we get

$$|j_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)) - j_0(p_0^\varepsilon(s))|_{0,\alpha} \leq (\kappa+1)\|p_\varepsilon(s) - Ep_0^\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + (\kappa+1)C_P\tau(\varepsilon)\|p_0^\varepsilon\|_{X_0}$$

Applying also Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq C[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)]$$

Hence,

$$\|Eu_0^\varepsilon(s) - u_\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq$$

$$(\kappa+2)\|p_\varepsilon(s) - Ep_0^\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + (\kappa+1)C_P\tau(\varepsilon)\|p_0^\varepsilon\|_{X_0} + C[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)].$$

To estimate now $\|p_\varepsilon(s) - Ep_0^\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}$ we follow Lemma 5.6 from [1] and to estimate $\|p_0^\varepsilon\|_{X_0}$ we use Lemma 5.5 from [1] also.

Putting all these estimates together, we get

$$\|Eu_0^\varepsilon(s) - u_\varepsilon(s)\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq$$

$$\leq (\kappa+2)\left(\frac{L_F}{(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^{1-\alpha}}\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon) + K_2 e^{-2s}\tau(\varepsilon)\right) e^{-[(\kappa+2)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon]s} +$$

$$+(\kappa+1)C_P\tau(\varepsilon)(R+C_F)e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon s\theta} + C[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)] \leq$$

$$\leq C[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)]e^{-[(\kappa+2)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon + 3]s},$$

with $C > 0$ independent of ε. Observe that since $s \leq 0$, we have $e^{-[(\kappa+2)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_m^\varepsilon + 3]s} \geq 1$.

Hence,

$$\|I_3\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P_m^0}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq 2\kappa(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha LC[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)]^\theta e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-[(2+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \theta\lambda_m^\varepsilon + 3\theta]s}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have,

$$\|I_4\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq (\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F \|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m} E\|_\infty e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s}.$$

By Section 3, $D\Psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$ for $0 < \theta \leq \theta_F$ and $\theta < \theta_0$. Applying estimate (2.3), Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 5.6 from [1], we have,

$$\|I_5\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq \kappa L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha M(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))|+\rho(\varepsilon))^\theta e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-[(2+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+\theta\lambda_m^\varepsilon+3\theta]s}$$

Finally, the norm of term I_6 is estimated by,

$$\|I_6\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq 2(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon(t-s)} \|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}.$$

Putting all together,

$$\|I\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$

$$CL_F L(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha \left[\beta(\varepsilon)+(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))|+\rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right] e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-[(2+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+\theta\lambda_m^\varepsilon+3\theta]s}$$

$$+(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F \|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m} E\|_\infty e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s} +$$

$$+2(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon(t-s)} \|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}.$$

Then,

$$\|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq \|I'\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} + \int_t^0 \|I\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$

$$\leq C_4 e^{-(\lambda_m^0+1)t}\tau(\varepsilon) +$$

$$CL_F L(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha \left[\beta(\varepsilon)+(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))|+\rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right] e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 e^{-[(2+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+\theta\lambda_m^\varepsilon+3\theta]s} ds$$

$$+(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F \|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m} E\|_\infty e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 e^{-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha s} ds +$$

$$+2(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 e^{\lambda_m^\varepsilon s} \|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} ds.$$

So, we have,

$$\|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$

$$\leq C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right] e^{-[(2+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+(\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon+3\theta]t} +$$

$$+\frac{\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m} E\|_\infty}{2} e^{-[2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+\lambda_m^\varepsilon]t} +$$

$$+2(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F e^{-\lambda_m^\varepsilon t} \int_t^0 e^{\lambda_m^\varepsilon s} \|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} ds.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality,

$$\|E\Theta_0^\varepsilon(t) - \Theta_\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P^0_m}X_0^\alpha,\mathbf{P^\varepsilon_m}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$

$$\leq C\left[\beta(\varepsilon)+(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))|+\rho(\varepsilon))^{\theta}\right]e^{-[(4+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+(\theta+1)\lambda_m^\varepsilon+3\theta]t}+$$

$$+\frac{\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon-D\Psi_\varepsilon\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}E\|_\infty}{2}e^{-[4L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha+\lambda_m^\varepsilon]t},$$

with $C>0$ a constant independent of ε and $0<\theta\leq\theta_F$ with $\theta<\theta_0$. □

We show now the convergence of the differential of inertial manifolds and establish a rate for this convergence. For this, we define θ_1 and $\tilde{\theta}$ as follows,

$$\theta_1=\frac{\lambda_{m+1}^0-\lambda_m^0-4L_F(\lambda_m^0)^\alpha}{(\kappa+2)L_F(\lambda_m^0)^\alpha+\lambda_m^0+3}, \tag{4.2}$$

and,

$$\tilde{\theta}=\min\{\theta_F,\,\theta_0,\,\theta_1\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proposition 4.3. *With Φ_0^ε and Φ_ε the inertial manifolds, and if $\theta<\tilde{\theta}$, we have the following estimate*

$$\|ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon-D\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}\leq C\left[\beta(\varepsilon)+\Big(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))|+\rho(\varepsilon)\Big)^{\theta}\right] \tag{4.4}$$

where C is a constant independent of ε.

Proof. Taking into account the estimate obtained in Theorem 2.3, it remains to estimate $\|ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon-D\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,\,\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha))}$, that is,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^m}\|ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon(z)-D\Phi_\varepsilon(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}.$$

But we know that,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^m}\|ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon(z)-D\Phi_\varepsilon(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}=$$

$$=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^m}\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z))j_0^{-1}-D\Psi_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z))\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}Ej_0^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}=$$

$$=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^m}\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z))-D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}Ej_0^{-1}(z))\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}X_0^\alpha,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}=$$

$$=\sup_{p_0^\varepsilon\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}X_0^\alpha}\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon)-D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}Ep_0^\varepsilon)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}X_0^\alpha,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}=\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon-D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty.$$

We have applied $|j_0(p_0^\varepsilon)|_{0,\alpha}=\|p_0^\varepsilon\|_{X_0^\alpha}$ for any $p_0^\varepsilon\in\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}X_0$, see (2.12).

Then, for $z'\in\mathbb{R}^m$, with the definition (3.6), and denoting again by $\Theta_0^\varepsilon(t)=\Theta_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z),t)$ and $\Theta_\varepsilon(t)=\Theta_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z),t)$, we have

$$ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z))j_0^{-1}(z')-D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\varepsilon}E\circ j_0^{-1}(z))\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\varepsilon}E\circ j_0^{-1}(z')=$$

$$=\int_{-\infty}^0\Big(Ee^{A_0\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}s}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{0}}DF_0^\varepsilon(u_0^\varepsilon(s))(I+D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p_0^\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_0^\varepsilon(s)j_0^{-1}(z')$$

$$-e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}s}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I+D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}Ej_0^{-1}(z')ds\Big)=\int_{-\infty}^0 I$$

But, the integrand I can be decomposed, in a similar way as above in the proof of Lemma 4.1, as

$$I = \Big(Ee^{A_0\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{0}}_{\mathbf{m}} - e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}E\Big)\, DF^\varepsilon_0(u^\varepsilon_0(s))(I + D\Psi^\varepsilon_0(p^\varepsilon_0(s)))\Theta^\varepsilon_0(s)j_0^{-1}(z')+$$

$$+e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}\Big(EDF^\varepsilon_0(u^\varepsilon_0) - DF_\varepsilon(Eu^\varepsilon_0(s))E\Big)(I + D\Psi^\varepsilon_0(p^\varepsilon_0(s)))\Theta^\varepsilon_0(s)j_0^{-1}(z')$$

$$+e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}\Big(DF_\varepsilon(Eu^\varepsilon_0) - DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))\Big)E(I + D\Psi^\varepsilon_0(p^\varepsilon_0(s)))\Theta^\varepsilon_0(s)j_0^{-1}(z')$$

$$+e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))\Big(E(I+D\Psi^\varepsilon_0(p^\varepsilon_0(s)))-(I+D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}Ep^\varepsilon_0(s)))E\Big)\Theta^\varepsilon_0(s)j_0^{-1}(z')$$

$$+e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))\Big((I+D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}Ep^\varepsilon_0(s))))-(I+D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Big)E\Theta^\varepsilon_0(s)j_0^{-1}(z')$$

$$+e^{A_\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}s}\mathbf{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}DF_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(s))(I + D\Psi_\varepsilon(p_\varepsilon(s)))\Big(E\Theta^\varepsilon_0(s) - \Theta_\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}E\Big)j_0^{-1}(z')$$
$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6.$$

Applying Lemma 5.3 from [1] and Lemma 3.5,

$$\|I_1\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq 2C_5L_Fl^\alpha_\varepsilon(-s)e^{[-2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha+\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1}-\lambda^\varepsilon_m-1]s}|z'|_{0,\alpha}.$$

Following the same steps as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we obtain,

$$\|I_2\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq 2(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha\beta(\varepsilon)e^{[-2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha+\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1}-\lambda^\varepsilon_m]s}|z'|_{0,\alpha},$$

$$\|I_4\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq (\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha L_F\|ED\Psi^\varepsilon_0 - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty e^{[-2L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha+\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1}-\lambda^\varepsilon_m]s}|z'|_{0,\alpha}.$$

For the sake of clarity we will denote by

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_3 &= -(2+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha + \lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1} - (\theta+1)\lambda^\varepsilon_m - 3\theta\\ \Lambda_4 &= -(4+(\kappa+2)\theta)L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha + \lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1} - (\theta+1)\lambda^\varepsilon_m - 3\theta.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then, we have,

$$\|I_3\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq 2\kappa(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha LC[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)]^\theta e^{\Lambda_3 s}|z'|_{0,\alpha},$$

$$\|I_5\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq \kappa L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha MC\left(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)\right)^\theta e^{\Lambda_3 s}|z'|_{0,\alpha},$$

and for the norm of I_6 we apply Lemma 4.1,

$$\|I_6\|_{X^\alpha_\varepsilon} \leq \Big(2(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha L_FC\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right]e^{\Lambda_4 s}+$$

$$(\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1})^\alpha L_F\|ED\Psi_0 - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty e^{[-4L_F(\lambda^\varepsilon_m)^\alpha+\lambda^\varepsilon_{m+1}-\lambda^\varepsilon_m]s}\Big)\,|z'|_{0,\alpha}.$$

Putting everything together, $\|I\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq \|I_1\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|I_2\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|I_3\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|I_4\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|I_5\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} + \|I_6\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha}$, so,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \|I\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} ds \leq 2C_5 L_F |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 l_\varepsilon^\alpha(-s) e^{[-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon - \lambda_m^\varepsilon - 1]s} ds +$$

$$+2(\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon)^\alpha \beta(\varepsilon) |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon - \lambda_m^\varepsilon]s} ds +$$

$$+2\kappa(\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon)^\alpha LC[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)]^\theta |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_3 s} ds +$$

$$+(\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F \|ED\Psi_0 - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[-2L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon - \lambda_m^\varepsilon]s} ds +$$

$$+\kappa L_F(\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon)^\alpha MC\left(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)\right)^\theta |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_3 s} ds +$$

$$+2(\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + \left(\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)\right)^\theta\right] |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_4 s} ds +$$

$$+(\lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon)^\alpha L_F \|ED\Psi_0 - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty |z'|_{0,\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[-4L_F(\lambda_m^\varepsilon)^\alpha + \lambda_{m+1}^\varepsilon - \lambda_m^\varepsilon]s} ds.$$

By Lemma 3.10 from [1], the gap conditions described in Proposition 2.1 and $0 < \theta < \tilde{\theta}$, see (4.3), for ε small enough,

$$\leq \left(C[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta] + \frac{1}{2}\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty\right) |z'|_{0,\alpha}$$

Hence,

$$\|[ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z)) - D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} E j_0^{-1}(z)) \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} E] j_0^{-1}(z')\|_{X_\varepsilon^\alpha} \leq$$

$$\leq \left(C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right] + \frac{1}{2}\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty\right) |z'|_{0,\alpha}.$$

Since Ψ_ε and Ψ_0^ε have bounded support, we consider the sup norm described in (4.1) for $u_0 \in \mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha$ with $\|u_0\|_{X_0^\alpha} \leq 2\mathcal{R}$, with $\mathcal{R} > 0$ an upper bound of the support of all Ψ_ε, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, and of Ψ_0^ε.

So,

$$\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty =$$

$$= \sup_{p \in \mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha, \|p\|_{X_0^\alpha} \leq 2\mathcal{R}} \|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(p) - D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} E p) \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}$$

$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^m, |z|_{0,\alpha} \leq 2\mathcal{R}} \|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z)) - D\Psi_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} E j_0^{-1}(z)) \mathbf{P_m^\varepsilon} E\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P_m^0} X_0^\alpha, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$

$$\leq C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right] + \frac{1}{2}\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty.$$

which implies,

$$\|ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon - D\Psi_\varepsilon E\|_\infty \leq 2C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right],$$

with $\theta < \tilde{\theta}$.

Hence, for $\theta < \tilde{\theta}$,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^m} \|ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon(z) - D\Phi_\varepsilon(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq 2C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right].$$

Applying Theorem 2.3, then

$$\|ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon - D\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^\theta\right].$$

Which concludes the proof of the proposition. □

With this estimate we can analyze in detail the $C^{1,\theta}$-convergence of inertial manifolds for some $\theta < \tilde{\theta}$, small enough. We introduce now the proof of the main result of this subsection.

Proof. (of Theorem 2.6) We want to show the existence of θ^* such that we can prove the convergence of the inertial manifolds Φ_ε to Φ_0^ε, when ε tends to zero in the $C^{1,\theta}$ topology for $\theta < \theta^*$ and obtain a rate of this convergence. That is, an estimate of $\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}$. Let us choose $\theta^* < \tilde{\theta}$ as close as we want to $\tilde{\theta}$, where $\tilde{\theta}$ is given by (4.3), so that Proposition 4.3 holds.

As we have mentioned,

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} = \|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} +$$

$$+ \sup_{z,z'\in\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\|(D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon)(z) - (D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon)(z')\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}}{|z - z'|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}^\theta} =$$

$$= I_1 + I_2.$$

For $\theta < \theta^*$, I_2 can be written as $I_2 = I_{21} \cdot I_{22}$, where

$$I_{21} = \left(\frac{\|(D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon)(z) - (D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon)(z')\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}}{|z - z'|_{\varepsilon,\alpha}^{\theta^*}}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}$$

$$I_{22} = \|(D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon)(z) - (D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon)(z')\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}^{1-\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}$$

Note that, since for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Phi_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon \circ j_\varepsilon^{-1}$, and $\Phi_0^\varepsilon = \Psi_0^\varepsilon \circ j_0^{-1}$ then by the chain rule, for all $z, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$D\Phi_\varepsilon(z)z' = D\Psi_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z))(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z')),$$
$$D\Phi_0^\varepsilon(z)z' = D\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z))(j_0^{-1}(z')).$$

Also, notice that from the definition of j_ε, j_0, we have $j_\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E = j_0$ or equivalently $j_\varepsilon^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{m}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} E \circ j_0^{-1}$.

Then, applying (2.13) to the denominator,

$$I_{21} \leq \left(\frac{\|(D\Psi_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z)) - D\Psi_\varepsilon(j_\varepsilon^{-1}(z')))j_\varepsilon^{-1} + (ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z')) - ED\Psi_0^\varepsilon(j_0^{-1}(z)))j_0^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, X_\varepsilon^\alpha)}}{(1-\delta)^{\theta^*}\|j_0^{-1}(z) - j_0^{-1}(z')\|_{X_0^\alpha}^{\theta^*}}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}$$

Since in the previous subsection we have proved $D\Psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$, with $\theta < \theta_0$, in particular we have $D\Psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta,M}$, with $\theta < \tilde{\theta}$. Without loss of generality we consider $D\Psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon^{\theta^*,M}$. Moreover, $\|j_\varepsilon^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} = \|\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}E\circ j_0^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbf{P}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathbf{m}}X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq \kappa$, see (2.12) and (2.3). Then, we obtain

$$I_{21} \leq \frac{(M\kappa(\kappa+1))^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}\|j_0^{-1}(z)-j_0^{-1}(z')\|^\theta_{X_0^\alpha}}{(1-\delta)^\theta\|j_0^{-1}(z)-j_0^{-1}(z')\|^\theta_{X_0^\alpha}} = \frac{(M\kappa(\kappa+1))^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}}{(1-\delta)^\theta}.$$

Note that,

$$I_{22} \leq \left(2\|D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,\,\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha))}\right)^{1-\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}.$$

Hence, for $\theta < \theta^*$,

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$
$$\leq \|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} + \|D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,\,\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha))} +$$
$$+\frac{(M\kappa(\kappa+1))^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}}{(1-\delta)^\theta}\left(2\|D\Phi_\varepsilon - ED\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m,\,\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha))}\right)^{1-\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}.$$

By Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 4.3, we have

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - E\Phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^m,X_\varepsilon^\alpha)} \leq$$
$$\leq C[\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon)] + 2C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^{\theta^*}\right] +$$
$$+\frac{(M\kappa(\kappa+1))^{\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}}}{(1-\delta)^\theta}\left(4C\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^{\theta^*}\right]\right)^{1-\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}} \leq$$
$$\leq \mathbf{C}\left(\left[\beta(\varepsilon) + (\tau(\varepsilon)|\log(\tau(\varepsilon))| + \rho(\varepsilon))^{\theta^*}\right]\right)^{1-\frac{\theta}{\theta^*}},$$

which shows the result.

□

References

[1] J.M. Arrieta, E. Santamaría, *Estimates on the distance of Inertial Manifolds*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A, 34, Vol 10 pp. 3921-3944 (2014)

[2] J.M. Arrieta, E. Santamaría, *Distance of attractors for thin domains*, (In preparation)

[3] A. V. Babin and M. I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, 25. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1992).

[4] Bates, P.W.; Lu, K.; Zeng, C. *Existence and Persistence of Invariant Manifolds for Semiflows in Banach Space* Mem. Am. Math. Soc. bf 135, (1998), no. 645.

[5] A. N. Carvalho, J. Langa, J. C. Robinson, *Attractors for Infinite-Dimensional Non-Autonomous Dynamical-Systems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 182, Springer, (2012).

[6] Shui-Nee Chow, Xiao-Biao Lin and Kening Lu, *Smooth Invariant Foliations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Journal of Differential Equations 94 (1991), no. 2, 266–291

[7] S. Chow, K. Lu and G. R. Sell, *Smoothness of Inertial Manifolds*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 169, no. 1, 283-312, (1992).

[8] J. W. Cholewa and T. Dlotko, *Global Attractors in Abstract Parabolic Problems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 278. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000)

[9] Jack K. Hale, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, American Mathematical Society (1988).

[10] Jack K. Hale and Genevieve Raugel, *Reaction-Diffusion Equation on Thin Domains*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. (9) 71 (1992), no. 1, 33-95.

[11] Daniel B. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 840. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1981).

[12] Don A. Jones, Andrew M. Stuart and Edriss S. Titi, *Persistence of Invariant Sets for Dissipative Evolution Equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 219, 479-502 (1998)

[13] P. S. Ngiamsunthorn, *Invariant manifolds for parabolic equations under perturbation of the domain*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA 80, pp 23-48, (2013)

[14] Genevieve Raugel, *Dynamics of partial differential equations on thin domains*. Dynamical systems (Montecatini Terme, 1994), 208-315, Lecture Notes in Math., 1609, Springer, Berlin, (1995).

[15] James C. Robinson, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems. An introduction to dissipative parabolic PDEs and the theory of global attractors*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001

[16] George R. Sell and Yuncheng You, *Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 143, Springer (2002).

[17] N. Varchon, *Domain perturbation and invariant manifolds*, J. Evol. Equ. 12 (2012), 547-569